PROJECT NAME: **ALERTS**

■ **BUSINESS PLAN GOALS:** Investment Goal 1.1.4 – Establish a baseline for the ratio of delay notices issued versus the number of delinquent schedules. The baseline shall be established after Alerts Phase II is fully operational in July 1999. Performance Goal 1.1.8 - Improve the number of Alerts Customer Priority Requests that are responded to in the timeframe specified.

■ **PROJECT OBJECTIVE:**

- Facilitate reporting of anticipated and actual delays in delivery schedules to our DCMC Customers
- Process customer requests for expedites, acceleration, customer priority lists, readiness, and status

■ **BENEFITS:**

- Reduced response time for Customer Delay Notices & in processing Customer Priority Requests
- Direct on-line communications between DCMC field personnel & Customers
- On-line repository of delay/delivery and customer request records
- Reduced data entry with SDW interface

■ **SCHEDULE STATUS:**

- Phase I Y2K Release Acceptance Test - Dec 98
- Phase I Y2K V2.2 Fielded   -   Dec 98
- Phase II Design/Development - Feb - Oct 98, CD Nov 98
- Acceptance Testing - Oct - Dec 98, CD Feb 99
- Training   - Jan - July 99, ECD Jun 99
- FOC CONUS   - May 99, ECD Aug 99
- OCONUS   - July 99, N/A (no SDW OCONUS cages)

■ **TECHNICAL STATUS:**

- Acceptance test 11 Jan - 26 Feb (certified CONUS, Netscape)
- 570 test conditions run on Win 95 and NT both
- 550 test conditions regression test 1, 485 in regression test 2
- 372 problem reports (277 cat B, 36 enhancements, 59 doc)
- all but 8 enhancements, 1 documentation fixed

■ **COST STATUS** *(then year $K)*:

	FY 95-98	FY 99				FY 00	FY 01-06
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
Baseline*	7413**	488	419	0	0	1186/386	1791
Actual	7413**	488	384	35	0	600/1000	1508/1791
% Obligated	100%	100%	92%	0%	N/A	N/A	N/A

Current

**FY 97 includes $4,400K infrastructure costs supporting multiple DCMC applications

Estimated Cost at Completion (EAC): $ 11,011 (FY95-06)

■ **OPERATIONAL STATUS:**

- $133k Y2K supplemental funding was needed for V2.2 release
- Schedule impacted by delay of coding start, V2.2 test, and more realistic training schedule
- Maintenance releases now needed in FY00/01 (security, IE, DCD interface) - need to revise POM input per quad bottom
- **Other issues/risks:** district excess Proliant 6000s for web servers, TIVOLI (V2.2 in Dec 98 to 145 E PCs, 1141 W)
- Oracle/Net 8, trng dbases & SDW critical to training/FOC dates
- Comp USA workaround for EDW training conflicts
- Plan for Alerts rqts in SPS/DCD interface mtg (IRS)
- Cannot run a true limited ET with live data
- No data migration (Cognos or read only phase I application)

■ **PROJECT OFFICER: Mr. Mark Philip**   ■ **SPONSOR: Ms. Patsy Oburn**   aquad32.ppt